

TRENDS IN ISLAMIC LAW IN THE CONTEMPORARY ERA: A PARADIGM SHIFT FROM CLASSICAL FIQH TO INTERDISCIPLINARY FIQH

**Mukhtar Latif, Kholil Syuaib, Deden Zaenal Mutaqin, Yusrizal Amri,
Robinhot, Wahyu Hidayat, Ahdiyat Mahendra**

Universitas Islam Negeri Sulthan Thaha Saifuddin Jambi, Indonesia

*proflatif261@gmail.com, kholil_syuaib@uinjambi.ac.id, pakhaji08@gmail.com,
yoesrizalwpc@gmail.com, robinhotsgn@gmail.com, Why081080@gmail.com,*

Abstract

<i>Article History</i> <i>Received :10-01-2026</i> <i>Revised :30-03-2026</i> <i>Accepted :24-09-2026</i>	<i>The increasing complexity of contemporary issues has challenged the capacity of classical Islamic jurisprudence (fiqh) to address evolving social realities. Differences in political systems, cultural traditions, economic structures, and customary laws, coupled with advances in technology, healthcare, and globalization, have generated new legal problems (al-masā'il al-mustajiddah) that require adaptive methodological approaches. This study aims to analyze the paradigm shift from classical fiqh to interdisciplinary fiqh in responding to contemporary challenges in Islamic law. Employing a qualitative approach through library research, this study uses normative analysis grounded in Fiqh al-Ijtihād al-Tanzīlī, as formulated by Fauzi bin Tsabit. The findings indicate that the transformation toward interdisciplinary fiqh reflects the need to integrate classical Islamic legal principles with contextual analysis of contemporary realities. Ushūl al-fiqh remains the fundamental methodological framework for deriving Islamic legal rulings (istinbāt al-aḥkām), while maṣlaḥah mursalah provides an important basis for considering public welfare in addressing emerging legal issues. Furthermore, the limitations of classical fiqh literature in responding to modern developments underscore the importance of integrating insights from relevant disciplines to achieve a more comprehensive understanding of legal problems. This paradigm shift does not entail abandoning classical jurisprudence but rather recontextualizing its principles through integrative, interdisciplinary, and context-sensitive approaches. Therefore, interdisciplinary fiqh offers a methodological framework for developing Islamic legal reasoning that remains faithful to foundational Islamic</i>
Keywords: <i>Contemporary Islamic Law;</i> <i>Classical Fiqh;</i> <i>Interdisciplinary Fiqh;</i> <i>Ushūl al-Fiqh;</i> <i>Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah;</i> <i>Maṣlaḥah Mursalah</i>	

sources while responding effectively to contemporary social dynamics.

Introduction

The trend of Islamic law in addressing contemporary issues has become increasingly comprehensive, in line with the expansion of the Caliphate's territory over time. Differences in nationalities, cultures, customs, politics, economics, and customary law have existed since before the advent of Islamic law. All of this has contributed to the increasing diversity of emerging problems. Furthermore, these are relatively new issues, unprecedented in the time of the Prophet Muhammad. Changes in social, technological, health, economic, and cultural contexts have become increasingly complex. This presents a challenge for mujtahids (religious scholars) to explore the legal framework for each emerging issue (Islamy, 2020).

Islam is a perfect religion. This perfection encompasses not only matters of worship related to the Creator but also matters relating to fellow human beings and all of His creation. This aims to create harmony in social life, or the common good. However, the problem arises: the Prophet Muhammad (peace and blessings be upon him) left behind only two sources of reference: the Quran and the Hadith. From Ibn Abdul Barr (may Allah have mercy on him), the Prophet Muhammad (peace and blessings of Allah be upon him) said: "Meaning: "I have left you two matters. You will not go astray as long as you hold to them: the Book of Allah and the Sunnah of His Messenger." (Narrated by Bukhari)" (Takwim et al., 2025).

The Quran and Hadith are the two primary sources that the Prophet Muhammad (peace and blessings be upon him) left for his people until the end of time. However, new problems have arisen regarding the interpretation of the Quran and Hadith. Some groups interpret the Quran and Hadith textually, ignoring contemporary interpretations. There are also groups who interpret the Quran with pragmatic logic, ignoring the views of the mufassir (tafsir scholars). Both have advantages and disadvantages from a broader perspective. For textualists, it will be difficult to decide on a matter that is not discussed clearly or abstractly (Prayogi et al., 2025).

So, to what extent are the Quran and Hadith able to address the problems of contemporary legal, socio-cultural, and economic issues? Considering that the Quran and Hadith contain, in addition to tafshili (detailed) verses and Sunnah, there are also verses that are mujmali (general), or even abstract. Therefore, understanding them requires tools to comprehend the content of the Quranic and Sunnah verses. Of course, these tools must not deviate from the provisions and rules contained in the Quran and Sunnah. Every mujtahid (religious scholar) who determines law must not contradict them. Both are the primary sources for determining law (Anshori & Abdurrahman, 2025).

Therefore, the researcher formulated several issues related to trends in Islamic legal issues in the modern era. Specifically, the shift in thinking paradigms when constructing or reconstructing the law on a matter from classical fiqh to interdisciplinary fiqh. Many contemporary issues that have not yet been addressed in classical fiqh have given rise to new paradigms in constructing law, including emerging economic issues. Specifically in financial institutions, starting with general banking interest rates in Indonesia, which serve as the government's

benchmark for curbing inflation and serving as a means for national economic development. This is because the law on interest rates in general banking in Indonesia is equated with *riba nasiah* (Majid, 2024)

In addition to scholars who identify banking interest rates with *riba*, some scholars distinguish interest rates from *riba*. Scholars who identify interest rates with *riba* base their analysis on classical Islamic jurisprudence (*fiqh*) studies, citing the similar legal basis, namely, the addition of value to the principal lent, without the need for *iwadh* (insurance). Scholars who differentiate banking interest rates from *riba nasiah* prioritize the interdisciplinary *fiqh* method, recognizing the numerous benefits arising from the benchmark interest rate in banking in Indonesia. This also includes economic issues related to banking, economic issues related to buying and selling transactions, digital currency transactions such as crypto and bitcoin, and other economic issues (Adrian & Ariani, 2025).

Paradigm shift from classical *fiqh* to interdisciplinary *fiqh* is not without reason. This is due to the perceived lack of flexibility of classical *fiqh* in addressing contemporary issues. This leaves it feeling rigid, stagnant, and undeveloped. Therefore, a change in methods for constructing Islamic law is necessary. Nevertheless, the bibliographic method in classical *fiqh* remains a reference and framework, which can then be developed through interdisciplinary *fiqh* studies (Harefa, 2025).

Interdisciplinary *fiqh* theory is a study of *fiqh* that encompasses all human practices. It concerns not only the laws of worship (*mahdhah*) but also all aspects of human life, including economics, health, social life, culture, politics, and so on. This can be seen in the definition of *fiqh* proposed by Fauzhi ibn Thabit. *Fiqh* is the ability to achieve precision and grasp the hidden meanings on which a law depends. This knowledge is explored through reason and *ijtihad*, and requires in-depth observation and reflection (Mappasessu & Akmal, 2025).

Therefore, researchers need to examine trends in contemporary Islamic law: the paradigm shift from classical *fiqh* to interdisciplinary *fiqh*. Is this shift something that *mujtahids* must undertake? And to what extent is this paradigm shift permissible, so as to create a relevant Islamic legal construct, without ignoring the purpose for which *sharia* was revealed? Or is this shift prohibited, due to concerns that it would undermine the substance of classical jurisprudence, which believes that every argument stems from the primary legal sources agreed upon by scholars: the Quran, *Sunnah*, *ijma'* (consensus), and *qiyas* (evidence).

Research Methods

This research employed a qualitative approach with a literature review method. Data sources were obtained from classical *fiqh* books, *ushul fiqh* literature, and contemporary scholarly works. The analysis was conducted on normative texts of Islamic law. The normative approach was used to examine legal principles and rules. The primary focus was on Islamic legal thought. This method aligns with the characteristics of *Sharia* law research (Hardani et al., 2020).

The analytical model used was normative-analytical. This approach examines legal norms from a theoretical and philosophical perspective. The concept of *Fiqh al-Ijtihād al-Tanzīlī* served as the primary analytical framework. This model emphasizes the relevance between text and reality. The analysis was

conducted systematically and in-depth, carefully examining the interrelationships between legal concepts (Yakin, 2023).

The research stages included data collection, classification, and interpretive analysis. Data were analyzed using conceptual and historical approaches (Miles & Huberman, 2020). Interpretation was conducted taking into account the contemporary social context. Data validity was maintained through source triangulation. This approach enabled a comprehensive understanding of the law. The results of the analysis are presented in a descriptive-analytical manner (Pradoko, 2023).

Discussion

Islamic Law

In addition to teachings concerning monotheism and creed, Islam has specific teachings and studies concerning law. These include laws concerning worship and transactions. Laws related to worship and transactions related to the practices of a Muslim are known as Islamic jurisprudence (Fiqh). In addition to Islamic jurisprudence, the study of law is also known as Sharia (Gad Makhoul, 2022). Sharia is crucial because it encompasses regulations relating to human relationships: relationships between humans, between humans and nature, and between humans and other creatures. Specifically, between humans and their Creator. These regulations are intended to achieve harmony within the universe, the purpose of creation (o'g'li, 2025). Rights and obligations are obligatory and must be fulfilled by humans, both with each other and with nature. This is one of the purposes of Sharia. Regarding this Shari'a, Allah has conveyed this message Meaning: then We made you above the Shari'a (rules) of affairs, so follow that Shari'a, and do not follow the desires of people who do not have knowledge" (Al-Jatsiyah) (Sarabioda et al., 2025).

Wahbah Azuhaili defines law from a linguistic point of view as المنع - al man'u or prohibition. Meanwhile, the law for Ushul Fiqh scholars is the word (khitab) of Allah which relates to the amaliah (actions) of the Mukallaf in determining, choosing and/or carrying out a matter. The understanding of law created by ushul fiqh scholars is very general. Azzuhaili's wahbah even added to the understanding of law according to fiqh scholars. namely, the nature of the Shari'a (legal provisions), where the nature of the Shari'a is influenced by the word of Allah, which can determine a person's position in carrying out worship (Soehardin Abdullah et al., 2025).

The law itself is divided into two. Taklifi Law and Wadhi Law. Taklifi Law, it is a sharia law for amukallaf to practice, leave or choose between doing or leaving. This taklifi law can be taken as an example from the verse on the command to pray and pay zakat. In surah albaqarah verse 43 "and perform the prayer, pay zakat, and bow down together with those who bow" in this verse, there is a sentence commanding prayer and paying zakat, so amukallaf is condemned to do it. For example, amukallaf is obliged to abandon an amaliah as stated in surah almaidah verse 3, which concerns the prohibition of carcasses, blood and pork flesh. In the verse, freedom to choose whether to do or leave worship in reciting prayers when traveling (Lohlker, 2021).

Abdul al Wahab Kholaf divided the taklifi law into five. Although it is the same as Wahbah Azzuhaili in the division of types or types of Taklifi law. Among

them: Obligatory Law, Sunnah Law, Mubah (permissible) Law, Law Makruh, and Haram Law. However, there are differences in defining the five types of laws. Obligatory law is something that is commanded by Sharia (Allah) to be carried out by mukallaf, accompanied by certain instructions in carrying it out. Mandub law is something that is commanded by Sharia (Allah) to be carried out by mukallaf, but there is no definite emphasis in the command to do it. This is usually seen from the sigat (phrase) of the command. Haram law is something that Sharia (Allah) commands to be abandoned by mukallaf, an absolute/certain order to abandon. And usually the command to abandon this is seen directly from the sentence or wording of the verse or hadith (Adiyono et al., 2024).

Makruh law is something that Sharia (Allah) commands to be abandoned by mukallaf (baligh), but with the wording of the command that is not absolute or certain. Permissible law is something that Sharia (Allah) allows the choice of whether to do or abandon. And there is no absolute command to do or abandon. Wadh'i (object) law is a law that is imposed on certain objects because there are certain reasons or conditions or because there are things that are prohibited from that object. The Law of Al Wadhi can be seen from the word of Allah in surah albaqarah verse 185 "Whoever has witnessed the arrival of the month of Ramadhan, then fast" in this verse explains the Law on the Object (wadh'i) of fasting Ramadan. However, with the condition that after seeing the month of Ramadhan entering. This verse confirms that as long as the moon has not been seen, the holy month of Ramadan has entered, then themukallaf is not permitted to fast during Ramadan. Of course, this is not only found in Al-Qur'an. You will also find the law of taklifi and the law of wadhi in the Sunnah (Directions Alyasa Abu Bakar & Abu Bakar, 2024).

Wadh'i law has five types of law. Wahbah Azzuhaili states that among the types of wadh'i laws are: First, cause: Cause has the meaning of a rope that can connect to other things. Meanwhile, Abdul al-Wahab Kholaf defines a cause as something that is designated by the Syaari (God) as the cause of something. And the musabab (effect) is always tied to the cause. Therefore, the effect (of an action) exists because of the cause. And when there is no cause, the effect (of the mukallaf's actions) does not exist (Tedy et al., 2024).

Second, a condition: A condition is something that underlies the existence of a law or the existence of a cause. For example, purification or ablution before performing prayer. Ablution is a prerequisite for prayer. Therefore, without ablution, prayer is void. Abdul al-Wahab Kholaf defines a condition as something that relies on the existence of a law. The existence of one law, then other laws become valid. And the absence of a law, then other laws become invalid. Third, Al-Mani: something whose existence renders a law void or invalidates a cause. Such as someone who kills. Whether intentionally or unintentionally, this murder makes someone barred from inheriting the inheritance of the murdered person. The existence of murder makes it forbidden to obtain a share of the inheritance left behind (Faiz & Abdelmalek, 2025).

Fourth, Ashihah: is the occurrence of two-way interactions in accordance with what is decided by the Shari'a. Meanwhile, Abdul al Wahab Kholaf defines ashahah as something that Syari (Allah) commands themukallaf to do. Which fulfills the reasons and conditions. Then the work is considered valid by syari (Allah). However, if the action does not fulfill the elements of cause and

condition, then the case will be void. Fifth, Rukhshah and 'Azimah: law or a rule made by Syaari (Allah) as a legal construction to make it easier for amukallaf to carry out Allah's orders. Meanwhile, Azimah is Sharia which is a universal legal provision, so that it becomes the foundation for constructing general rules (law), which is the basis for every mukallaf in actualizing these commands. Such as orders for prayer, zakat, and other general Islamic laws.

Contemporary Issues

Contemporary comes from the Latin words "con-" (together) and "tempo" (time). Literally, contemporary means "at the same time" or "present." In a broader context, contemporary refers to something that is relevant, current, and in line with current developments. Several definitions of contemporary according to experts: First, according to the Big Indonesian Dictionary (KBBI), contemporary means at the same time; during; at the present time; nowadays. Second, Edi Sedyawati defines contemporary art as art that demonstrates contemporary creativity in line with current conditions. Third, Suka Harjana states that contemporary does not refer to anything specific, but rather implies a time, namely the present or something contemporary (Abdul Aziz Shutadi, 2020). From these various definitions, it can be concluded that contemporary is a concept that reflects contemporaneity, modernity, and relevance to the present. Contemporary is not only about time, but also about ways of thinking, working, and living that are in line with current developments. Contemporary Islamic law, then, is a discussion of current affairs, reflecting contemporary trends, modernity, and relevance to current developments. It examines whether an act is obligatory, recommended, permissible, reprehensible, or forbidden.

Among the contemporary issues of fiqh or law are those related to the economy. This is evident in current economic developments, whether in financial institutions or buying and selling. These issues are sometimes viewed as no longer appropriate to the context of Islamic law, as regulated by classical fiqh scholars. Or perhaps this is due to the limitations of contemporary scholars in understanding the opinions of classical fiqh scholars. One example is the discussion within classical fiqh studies concerning usury (riba). Classical fiqh studies indicate that usury is the addition of value to the principal of a loan. Therefore, some subsequent scholars have argued that because bank interest rates add value to the loan, they indicate that general banking interest in Indonesia falls into the category of usury, which is prohibited by sharia, and falls under the category of riba nasiah (riba nasiah). Among those who equate bank interest with riba nasiah are Umar Chapra, Yusuf Qordhowi, and M. Antonio Syafii (Munir, 2023).

However, due to differing definitions of riba among scholars, Furthermore, riba (usury) of the Jahiliyah (pre-Islamic period), also known as riba nasiah (riba nasiah), is strictly forbidden. However, interest rates are not specifically discussed in verses or Sunnah, so some scholars distinguish between interest and riba. Among those who distinguish between interest and riba are Muhammad Abduh, Sayyid Muhammad Thanthawi, and Sahal Mahfud. Sayyid Muhammad Thanthawi even equates interest rates with mudharabah investments (Mashuri Mashuri, 2025).

Usury jahiliyah or nasiah is usury when the loan is due. The person giving the loan will tell the borrower. return the principal of the loan that I have given. If

the borrower is unable to repay the loan, the lender will provide an agreed grace period, but with an addition to the loan principal. For example, a loan of 1,000,000 becomes 1,100,000 or it is possible that it becomes 1,500,000. the interest rate determined by the borrower becomes uncertain. And there is no certainty about how much must be returned from the start of the transaction. And the longer it takes to repay the principal and interest on the loan due to repeated lateness, quite a few borrowers then become slaves, because they are unable to repay the principal and interest. Of course, the interest will be the same, or even greater, than the principal debt.

This category is what makes usury strictly prohibited, because it is proven to exploit people who are in trouble. And for this reason, many scholars view that they allow bank interest rates, because they are not intended for people who have financial difficulties. Because banks will not provide loans if the creditor is deemed unable to repay or lacks a viable business. This regulation can be seen in the OJK (2017) decision. The main principles of credit or financing regulations for conventional commercial banks in Indonesia are as follows: Credit or financing is made in the form of a written agreement; the bank must have confidence in the debtor's capabilities and abilities, obtained from a careful assessment of the debtor's character, capabilities, capital, collateral, and business prospects; the bank's obligation to develop and implement credit or financing procedures; the bank's obligation to provide clear information regarding credit or financing procedures and requirements; and the prohibition on providing credit or financing with different terms to the debtor or affiliated parties (Rohman, 2025).

Using classical Islamic jurisprudence, the definition of usury (*riba*) states that any loan with additional interest without a replacement is usury. This makes bank interest forbidden. What about the benefits derived from interest-bearing bank loans? Does this negate these benefits? Considering that contemporary Islamic jurisprudence places the public interest above all else (*Maqasid Sharia*). The purpose of Sharia law is for the public good. So, does permitting interest rates in banking constitute a legal decision that conflicts with the Quran and Sunnah? (Firdaus & Aniek Nurhayati, 2025)

Given these differing views on bank interest, researchers need to reanalyze it using the theory of Ushul Fiqh, specifically *qiyas* (equivalence) and *mashlahah Mursalah* (equivalence), better known as *Maqasid Sharia*. This means that in addition to determining the legal basis, as part of the pillars of *qiyas* (equivalence), the legal basis (cause) must also be analyzed for the benefit of the interest rate. This means whether the interest rate reference is beneficial or does it align with the substance of *riba* itself: exploitation of the poor and structured injustice. Or is the interest rate merely a percentage, a convenient calculation tool. Therefore, the problem lies in human morality. Or is *riba* a technicality/method of interest collection that disregards human norms (Mufid, 202).

Seeing that the reason for the revelation of the verse relating to usury was when the Bani Mughirah had a receivable (lent) money to the Bani 'Amr. Then after Rasulullah Shollallahu Alaihi wa Sallam conquered the city of Makkah. The Bani Mughirah charged the receivables to the Bani 'Amir with the excess. However, the Bani 'Amir refused to pay the additional excess of the loan principal. This was finally reported to Rasulullah shollahu alaihi wa sallam, then surah albaqarah verses 278 and 279 came down. All usury was abolished and the

Mughirah children were only allowed to take the principal of their debt (Wibisono & Roeslan, 2025).

Qiyas, is looking at the causes (ilat) of the law, which makes usury forbidden. That usury is prohibited because there is an addition to the principal of the debt without iwadh (replacement). This addition to the principal of the debt is the basis for the ulama, so they equate bank interest with usury. namely usury of fortune. However, what cannot be ignored is usury nasiah, or the occurrence of additions to the principal of the debt when there is a delay. And the exact amount given by the borrower is not known. Because the amount of this addition is completely determined by the lending party. This means that if the legal principle of bank interest is only an addition to the principal of the debt, then the individual legal law which allows for an increase that cannot be determined is ignored.

Because, the excess given is from the principal of the debt. This was done by Rasulullah shallallahu alaihi wa sallam. Al Bazzar narrated from Abu Hurairah - may Allah be pleased with him - he said, "The Prophet shallallahu alaihi wa sallam. A man came to me who wanted to collect money, which he had borrowed from him for half a wasaq (30 sha'), then he gave him one wasaq (40 sha'), saying, "half the wasaq is your king's right, while the other half is for me."

If some scholars believe that because a permissible loan has an excess, as practiced by the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), there was no initial agreement. Nor was there an initial transaction requiring the loan to be repaid with the excess. Isn't riba, or the addition of the principal, not subject to a contract of sale or transaction? As with riba nasiah, there is no initial agreement that the borrower must repay the excess. However, when the excess exceeds the principal, the borrower is unable to repay the principal when the agreed-upon maturity date arrives. It is also not permissible to assume that the Prophet engaged in riba, as his actions conform to Quranic standards (Ramelan et al., 2025).

So what about commercial bank interest rates? Considering that the benchmark interest rate is part of the government's monetary policy, through the monetary policy of the central bank, Bank Indonesia. The goal is to suppress inflation and serve as a means of equitable national development. This is because interest rates on loans granted to creditors are used to stimulate the real sector, including industry, trade, infrastructure, and so on. Commercial banks in Indonesia are not permitted to determine interest rates at their own discretion, or at the discretion of their management. Interest rate regulations, regardless of the size, are at the discretion of the central bank. The system for determining the amount of additional principal differs from the policy for additional principal in riba nasiah, where the authority for the amount is entirely left to the borrower. Meanwhile, the amount of additional principal in commercial bank interest is entirely at the discretion of the central bank. The most important task of the Central Bank is monetary policy, namely, regulating interest rates and the money supply. Monetary policy has a direct impact on price stability and inflation control, and indirectly, but also has a significant influence on unemployment, economic growth, and fluctuations in the business cycle (Ahmad Alimuddin, 2025).

Based on the explanation of the reasons for the revelation of verses concerning usury, several legal issues arise regarding the relationship between interest and usury. Furthermore, the reference interest rate is determined by the central bank's monetary policy, with the aim of regulating inflation. Since banks

cannot determine their own interest rates, general banking interest rates in Indonesia differ from *riba nasiah* (usury). The prohibition of bank interest due to its *riba*-based elements is problematic. When analyzed using the *mashlahah mursalah* (meaning of the principles of sharia), bank interest has more beneficial elements. First, interest serves as a benchmark for monetary policy, ensuring equitable development across regions. This is what drives the real sector. Second, the initial determination of interest rates serves as an effort to ensure transparency for capital managers. Given the increasing difficulty in establishing honesty in business practices, the provision of capital by banks, at interest rates, is an effort to prevent hostility and subsequent unrest. Third, it serves as a means of helping those in need of business capital and indirectly creates job opportunities for others (Kusaufan, 2025).

One of the requirements for *mashlahah mursalah* is that it must not contradict existing texts. Therefore, interest rates do not contradict existing texts, as there is no prohibition on interest rates in the texts. Therefore, interest is not simply equated with usury, the meaning of which is open to multiple interpretations in classical *fiqh* studies. Rather, interest is viewed from the perspective of the public interest. Second, *mashlahah mursalah* must not provide only one-sided or personal benefits. Therefore, interest not only impacts personal economic growth but also has a broad impact on national economic growth. Third, *mashlahah* is *tafsili*, or based on clear data, not mere assumptions. Therefore, bank interest has *mashlahah* in suppressing inflation and fostering equitable development, not based on assumptions, but on existing facts and data. One concrete role of banks is to channel funds to the real sector of society through micro, small, and medium enterprises, which indirectly stimulates community economic activity. The availability of these funds also supports the acceleration of infrastructure and facilities development, as well as making a positive contribution to increasing employment and the country's economic development.

Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah as a Paradigmatic Bridge

Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah represents an internal dynamic within Islamic legal theory that preserves continuity while enabling transformation. Classical jurists articulated maqāṣid as implicit wisdom embedded in legal rulings rather than an autonomous methodology. Al-Juwaynī, al-Ghazālī, and al-Shātibī progressively systematized objectives such as protection of religion, life, intellect, lineage, and property. These formulations reflected socio-historical realities without abandoning textual authority. Continuity between revelation and rational reflection formed the intellectual backbone of early maqāṣid discourse. Modern Islamic legal thought encountered unprecedented social complexity requiring broader analytical instruments. Reformist scholars revisited maqāṣid to respond to colonialism, nation-state formation, and legal pluralism. Ibn 'Āshūr elevated maqāṣid into an explicit methodological framework rather than a supplementary concept. This shift expanded the scope of legal reasoning beyond literalism while maintaining fidelity to normative sources. Evolution occurred through reinterpretation rather than doctrinal rupture.

Contemporary maqāṣid theory demonstrates methodological maturity through conceptual refinement and analytical expansion. Protection-oriented objectives developed into value-based orientations emphasizing dignity, justice,

and public welfare. Scholars integrated ethical philosophy, sociology, and legal theory to enrich maqāṣid reasoning. Such engagement enabled Islamic law to converse with modern normative systems. Intellectual continuity remained anchored in *uṣūl al-fiqh* principles. Maqāṣid functions as a substantive approach prioritizing legal meaning over procedural rigidity. Juristic focus shifts from isolated rulings toward underlying purposes guiding normative outcomes. Legal texts receive interpretation through objective-oriented reasoning attentive to human consequences. This orientation reduces tension between scriptural authority and social realities. Substantive justice emerges as a central evaluative criterion.

Contextual sensitivity characterizes maqāṣid-driven legal analysis in contemporary settings. Socio-cultural conditions inform application without undermining normative boundaries. Legal determinations account for time, place, and circumstance as integral analytical variables. Flexibility arises from principled reasoning rather than discretionary arbitrariness. Contextual engagement strengthens legal relevance and social legitimacy. Interdisciplinary engagement becomes feasible through maqāṣid's value-based architecture. Islamic law interacts constructively with economics, political science, environmental studies, and medical ethics. Shared concern for human welfare facilitates cross-disciplinary dialogue. Normative objectives serve as common analytical ground among diverse fields. Integration enhances problem-solving capacity in complex societal issues.

Fiqh interdiscipliner relies upon maqāṣid to harmonize normative commitment with empirical insight. Legal reasoning incorporates data, social impact assessments, and policy analysis within a *sharī'ah*-oriented framework. Objective-based evaluation guides normative choices amid competing interests. Such synthesis prevents reductionism while avoiding isolationism. Methodological balance sustains legal credibility. Legislative processes in Muslim societies increasingly reflect maqāṣid-informed reasoning. Lawmaking considers public interest, proportionality, and social outcomes alongside textual references. Judicial interpretation benefits from objective-based analysis to resolve legal ambiguities. Governance frameworks gain ethical depth through maqāṣid orientation. Institutional application illustrates practical relevance.

Educational reform within Islamic legal studies also reflects maqāṣid centrality. Curricula incorporate interdisciplinary literacy alongside classical texts. Students develop analytical competence grounded in ethical reasoning. Pedagogical transformation fosters adaptive juristic capacity. Intellectual heritage remains preserved through critical engagement. Critiques regarding potential relativism receive response through disciplined maqāṣid methodology. Objective identification follows rigorous epistemological standards rooted in revelation and scholarly consensus. Normative boundaries prevent instrumentalization of objectives for subjective ends. Methodological safeguards maintain legal integrity. Balanced application counters unfounded flexibility concerns.

Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah ultimately operates as a paradigmatic bridge linking tradition and modernity. Legal evolution proceeds through continuity, adaptation, and principled innovation. Interdisciplinary *fiqh* gains coherence through objective-oriented reasoning. Islamic law sustains relevance amid changing realities without losing normative identity. Future development depends upon

sustained scholarly rigor and ethical commitment.

Conclusion

The paradigm shift from Classical Fiqh to interdisciplinary fiqh is important, so that the objectives of sharia are revealed for the benefit of the people, and remain relevant throughout time and era. Trends in contemporary Islamic law demonstrate a significant paradigm shift. Classical fiqh remains a crucial normative foundation. The challenges of modernity have driven the development of interdisciplinary fiqh. This approach integrates various disciplines. The maqasid al-sharia orientation serves as the primary foundation.

Fiqh al-Ijtihād al-Tanzīlī offers an applicable framework for Islamic law. The normative approach is combined with contextual analysis. Islamic law becomes more responsive to social realities. This integration of scholarship strengthens legal legitimacy. This paradigm shift is constructive. The development of Islamic legal studies needs to continue strengthening its interdisciplinary approach. Future research is expected to examine the implementation of contemporary fiqh empirically. Synergy between academics and practitioners of Sharia law needs to be strengthened.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abdul Aziz Shutadi. 2020. The The Relevance of Islamic Studies in The Contemporary Era with an Interdisciplinary Approach to Answer The Challenges of The Times. *Eduprof: Islamic Education Journal*, 2(1), 18–38. <https://doi.org/10.47453/Eduprof.V2I1.29>
- Adiyono, A., Ni'am, S., & Akhyak, A. 2024. Methodology of Islamic Studies: Islam as Religion (A Perspective Epistemology, Paradigm, and Methodology). *Analisis: Jurnal Studi Keislaman*, 24(1), 169–200. <https://doi.org/10.24042/AJSK.V24I1.22636>
- Adrian, M. F., & Ariani, Z. A. 2025. Reconstructing Fiqh in Post-Reform Indonesia: From Theocentric to Anthropocentric Paradigms. *Indonesian Journal of Interdisciplinary Islamic Studies (IJIIS)*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.20885/Ijiis.Vol8.Iss1.Art5>
- Ahmad Alimuddin. 2025. Reconstruction of Equality Before the Law: An Islamic Legal Perspective in the Context of Social Inequality. *Ahlika: Jurnal Hukum Keluarga Dan Hukum Islam*, 2(2), 198–232. <https://doi.org/10.70742/Ahlika.V2I2.405>
- Anshori, A. Y., & Abdurrahman, L. T. 2025. History of the Development of Mazhab, Fiqh and Uşûl Al-Fiqh: Reasoning Methodology in Islamic Law. *Samarah: Jurnal Hukum Keluarga Dan Hukum Islam*, 9(1), 273–298. <https://doi.org/10.22373/sjkh.v9i1.25355>
- Directions Alyasa Abu Bakar, F., & Abu Bakar, A. 2024. The Renewing of Usul al-Fiqh: Challenges, Limitations and Future Directions. *Indonesian Journal of Islamic Economic Law*, 1(2), 105–122. <https://doi.org/10.23917/Ijoel.V1i2.5334>
- Faiz, M. F., & Abdelmalek, O. 2025. *Transcending Without Disconnecting: The Integrative Epistemology of Ma'had AlySitubondo and Its Model of Endogenous Islamic Legal Reform*. <https://philpapers.org/rec/Fautwd>
- Firdaus, M. F., & Aniek Nurhayati. 2025. Progressive Islamic Theology As A Third Pathway In Abdullah Saeed's Contemporary Revival Thought. *Kontemplasi: Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Ushuluddin*, 13(01), 109–131. <https://doi.org/10.21274/Kontem.2025.13.01.109-131>
- Gad Makhlouf, A. 2022. The Doctrinal Development of Contemporary Islamic Law: Fiqh Academies as an Institutional Framework. *Oxford Journal of Law and Religion*, 10(3), 464–486. <https://doi.org/10.1093/Ojlr/RwaC005>
- Hardani, Auliya, N. H., Andriani, H., Fardani, R. A., Ustiawaty, J., Utami, E. F., Sukmana, D. J., & Istiqomah, R. R. 2020. Metode Penelitian Kualitatif & Kuantitatif. In H. Abadi (Ed.), *CV. Pustaka Ilmu* (Vol. 3, Issue 1). Pustaka Ilmu. <https://medium.com/@arifwicaksanaa/pengertian-use-case-a7e576e1b6bf>
- Harefa, S. 2025. The Fundamental Principles Of Islamic Law In The Digital Era: An Ushul Fiqh And Maqashid Sharia Approach. *Journal of Islamic Law on Digital Economy and Business*, 84–99. <https://doi.org/10.20885/Jildeb.Vol1.Iss1.Art6>
- Islamy, A. 2020. Paradigm Shift and Scientific Revolution in the Development of Contemporary Ushul Fiqh. *Kontekstualita*, 35(01), 39–54. <https://doi.org/10.30631/35.01.39-54>

- Kusaufan, K. 2025. Reinterpreting Classical Theological Foundations: Modern Approaches to Usul al-Din in Islamic Scholarship. *The Journal of Academic Science*, 2(2), 522–531. <https://doi.org/10.59613/Qth1yy76>
- Lohlker, R. 2021. Fiqh Reconsidered: Indigenization and Universalization of Islamic Law in Indonesia. *Interdisciplinary Journal for Religion and Transformation in Contemporary Society*, 7(1), 188–208. <https://doi.org/10.30965/23642807-Bja10011>
- Majid, I. 2024. The Concept of Al-'Ilm Al-Ushuliy: The Significance of Ushul Al-Fiqh in the Paradigm of Unity of Science. *Journal of Pesantren and Diniyah Studies*, 1(1), 71–80. <https://doi.org/10.63245/JPDS.V1I1.1>
- Mappasessu, M., & Akmal, A. M. 2025. Studying Fiqh Based on the Quran and Hadith in the Modern Era by Revisiting the Methodology of Legal Istinbat. *Nuris Journal of Education and Islamic Studies*, 5(2), 151–167. <https://doi.org/10.52620/JEIS.V5I2.119>
- Mashuri Mashuri. 2025. Living Fiqh As Social Dynamics: A Study Of The Actualization Of Fiqh Of Civilization In Pondok Pesantren Darul Ulum, Bandung, Tulungagung. *Ahkam: Jurnal Hukum Islam*, 13(1), 108–137. <https://doi.org/10.21274/Ahkam.2025.13.1.108-137>
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. 2020. *Qualitative Data Analysis: An Expanded Sourcebook*. Sage Publications.
- Mufid, M. 2025. Towards the Reconstruction and Reinterpretation of al-Kulliyāt al-Khams: A Study of Maqāṣid in the Interdisciplinary Islamic Studies Master's Program at UIN Sunan Kalijaga. *Al-Mazaahib: Jurnal Perbandingan Hukum*, 13(2), 225–257. <https://doi.org/10.14421/Al-Mazaahib.V13I2.4450>
- Munir, M. 2023. Fiqh Siyasah and the State: Mapping Paradigms of Religion-State Relations in Modern Islamic Thought. *Parewa Saraq: Journal of Islamic Law and Fatwa Review*, 2(2), 110–120. <https://doi.org/10.64016/parewasaraq.V2I2.51>
- o'g'li, Q. A. M. 2025. Challenges Of Providing Solutions To Modern Issues In Islamic Law. *EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements*, 1(3), 577–594. <https://brightmindpublishing.com/index.php/ev/article/view/306>
- Pradoko, A. M. S. 2023. *Paradigma Metode Penelitian Kualitatif* (Keenam, Vol. 148). UNY Press.
- Prayogi, A., Nasrullah, R., & Setiawan, S. 2025. The Evolution Of Fiqh In The Digital Era: Challenges And Adaptations In Islamic Jurisprudence. *The Journal of Religion and Communication Studies*, 2(1), 15–25. <https://doi.org/10.61511/JORCS.V2I1.2025.1751>
- Ramelan, R., Fahmi, I., & Pudjiastuti, D. 2025. Transformation of Islamic Family Law in Indonesia: Fiqh and Law. *International Journal of New Approaches to Law and Rationality in Nationhood, Governance, and Rights Advocacy*, 1(1), 53–62. <https://doi.org/10.56861/.V1I1.171>
- Rohman, M. F. (2025). Historicity of Hadith Studies: Paradigm of Understanding, Transmission, and Contemporary Hadith Studies. *Al-Mujtama': Journal of Social Sciences*, 1(2), 88–102. <https://doi.org/10.30829/Al-Mujtama.v1i2.24373>

- Sarabioda, A. J., Barkati, M. G., & Tabish, M. 2025. Classical Islamic Sciences and Contemporary Academia: Efforts to Bridge the Intellectual Heritage. *International Journal of Islamic Teaching and Learning*, 2(2), 48–54. <https://doi.org/10.69637/Ijiting.V2i2.519>
- Soehardin Abdullah, J., Jailani, M., & Nashihin, H. 2025. Contemporary Fiqh Framework In The Era Of Digital Transformation: New Challenges And Opportunities. *At Turots: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 7(2), 979–987. <https://doi.org/10.51468/JPI.V7I2.1292>
- Takwim, A., Farah, F. F. Y., Risma, D. E., & Mildawati, T. 2025. The Relevance of Islamic Jurisprudence (Fiqh) in Tackling Modern Issues. *Journal of Islamic Studies and Education*, 4(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.63120/JISE.V4I1.102>
- Tedy, A., Zikri, M., & Rahmat, I. 2024. Revitalizing Pure Islamic Study Program: Harmonizing Classical Paradigms with Market Demands in the Era of Disruption. *Didaktika Religia*, 12(2), 446–469. <https://doi.org/10.30762/Didaktika.V12i2.3474>
- Wibisono, Y., & Roeslan, F. 2025. The Concept of Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah and Maṣlaḥah in the Classical and Contemporary Tafsīr. *International Journal of Islamic Economics and Business Sustainability (IJIEBS)*, 1(2), 4. <https://scholarhub.ui.ac.id/ijiebs/vol1/iss2/4>
- Yakin, I. H. 2023. *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif* (U. Supriatna (ed.)). Aksara Global Akademia.